Brief communication: How deep is the snow at ~~the~~ Mount Everest?

Wei Yang[1], Huabiao Zhao[1], Baiqing Xu[1], Jiule Li[1], Weicai Wang[1], Guangjian Wu[1], Zhongyan Wang[1], Tandong Yao[1]

[1]State Key Laboratory of Tibetan Plateau Earth System, Environment and Resources (TPESER), Institute of Tibetan Plateau Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

Correspondence to: Huabiao Zhao (zhaohb@itpcas.ac.cn)

Abstract. Exploring the snow depth~~thickness~~ at ~~the~~ Mount Everest has long been a topic of interest ~~for studying geodesy, cryosphere and climate change~~ in this inaccessible place on our planet. Previously reported snow depths have been inconsistent and have large uncertainties~~, but has not yet been measured successfully~~. Here, we report the ground-penetrating radar survey of snow depth~~thickness~~ along the northern slope of ~~the~~ Mount Everest in May 2022. Our radar measurements display a gradual increasing transition of snow depth~~thickness~~ along the north slope, and the mean ~~snow~~ depth~~thickness~~ estimates at ~~the Mount~~ the summit ~~Everest~~ ~~is~~ are approximately 9.5 ±1.2 m. This updated snow depth~~thickness~~ at ~~the~~ Mount Everest is much deeper than previously reported values (0.9~3.5 m).

1 Introduction

Mount Everest~~, or Chomolungma,~~, ~~is~~ one of the most inaccessible places on our planet~~the Earth summit~~, ~~which~~ is considered to be the most iconic peak (Kang et al., 2022; Matthews et al., 2020). There are very strong scientific and public motivations for determining the snow depth~~thickness~~ at ~~the~~ Mount Everest. Although China and Nepal jointly declared that the snow height of ~~the~~ Mount Everest ~~is~~ was 8848.86 metres above sea level (m asl) in 2020, the true rock height has not been ~~was not~~ precisely determined due to the unknown snow depth~~thickness~~ below. ~~And t~~The snow depths~~thickness~~ at extremely high elevations may vary dynamically with different seasons and ~~climate changes~~ years ~~(Potocki et al., 2022)~~. Knowledge about snow depths during different periods will be helpful for explaining the discrepancies~~y~~ ~~of~~ in the reported snow heights at ~~the~~ Mount Everest, which ~~has~~ have been introduced by repeated surveys (Angus-Leppan, 1982; Chen et al., 2010; Xie et al., 2021). In addition~~s~~, snow layering at mountain summits contains information about local seasonal snow accumulation and climate history. However, s~~S~~now and ice display accelerated loss rates in almost all regions on Earth (Hugonnet et al., 2021; Kraaijenbrink et al., 2021). S~~s~~imilar~~imilar~~ to other snow/glacier-covered summits (Thompson et al., 2009), the snow and glaciers at Mount Everest are the sentinels for climate change and therefore offer a potential natural platform for understanding ongoing climate change at such extremely high elevations (Matthews et al., 2020; Potocki et al., 2022) and their possible widespread influence on the Asian Water Tower (Immerzeel et al., 2020). Comparisons of snow depth/stratigraphy during different periods may be potentially helpful for understanding the possible influence of anthropogenic climate change at extremely high elevations in the Himalayas (Brun et al., 2022; Pepin et al., 2022; Potocki et

al., 2022). ~~Thus, the state of snow at the Mount Everest are critical for studies related to the geodesy, the cryosphere, and climate change.~~

~~However, previous attempts to measure the snow thickness at the Mount Everest were not successful due to harsh~~
35 ~~environment and instrument/method limitation.~~Previously reported snow depths derived by different methods and instruments ranged from 0.92 m to 3.5 m at Mount Everest. In 1975, a Chinese expedition team reported an estimated snow depth~~thickness~~ of 0.92 m by inserting a wooden stake into the snow (Chen et al., 2010). In 1992, a joint Chinese~~a~~-Italian ~~joint~~ expedition team estimated a thickness of 2.52 m by inserting a steel stake into the snow (Chen et al., 2010). These results derived by stake methods were subjected to many factors such as snow density, stake length, and manpower issues at
40 such harsh altitudes. ~~R~~Radio echo sounding is a suitable technique for imaging snow-ice environments and their internal structures (Rignot et al., 2013). In 2005, a Chinese mountaineering and surveying team claimed a snow depth~~thickness~~ of ~3.5 m by utilizing ground penetrating radar; however, the reported boundary between the snow and rock on the radar image was too ambiguous to provide an undisputed depth (Sun et al., 2006). In 2019 and 2020, various Nepalese and Chinese expedition teams measured the snow depth~~thickness~~ using different radar instruments; however, no results were reported
45 ~~(GSSI, 2021; Tone, 2020)~~. Supported by the Second Tibetan Plateau Scientific Expedition and Research, we organized "The Earth Summit Missions 2022" expedition during the period from April to May 2022. One of our key goals is~~was~~ to measure the snow depth~~thickness~~ at ~~the~~ Mount Everest.

2 Data and method

Ground penetrating radar (GPR) is a powerful tool in the field of cryosphere that has been widely used to survey snow
50 depth (Holbrook et al., 2016; Yamamoto et al., 2004). To maximize portability at ~~the~~ Mount Everest, we conducted our GPR survey with a single transmitter–receiver antenna at a frequency of 1000 MHz using a Sensor & Software Pulse EKKO Pro system on May 4th 2022. In contrast with the previous radar survey conducted at the summit (Sun et al., 2006), our measurement started from the exposed metamorphosed limestone at an elevation that was approximately 15 m lower than ~~the~~ the summit ~~Mount Everest~~ to ensure a gradual transition in the radar reflection profile and thus produce more easily post-
55 discerning boundaries between the snow and rock (Fig. 1a). For all GPR measur~~ementing~~ points, a portable global navigation satellite system (UniStrong G138BD) continuously recorded the antenna locations. We obtained a total of 57 radar wavelet traces at irregularly space~~d~~ intervals (~0.5-1 m along the north slope and 0.2-0.4 m at the summit) during ~~the time of~~ 12:30-13:00 (Fig. 1b, Supplement Table S1).

The transmission velocity is the most critical parameter for estimating snowpack thickness. Because of the limited
60 measurement time window in the so-called 'death zone', we did not measure common midpoint data to evaluate the transmission velocity of radar waves inside the snowpack at ~~the~~ Mount Everest ~~t~~. In general, the transmission velocity in snow ranges from 0.20 m/ns to 0.27 m/ns, which depends on the snow properties (Kovacs et al., 1995; Fortin and Fortier,

2001; Singh et al., 2017). A transmission velocity of 0.23 m/ns was obtained in a snowpack according to radar measurements with a steel stake (40 cm in length and 2 cm in diameter) that was buried in the snowpack at elevations of ~~6500 m and~~ 7028 m in 2005 (Sun et al., 2006). Therefore, we adopted a mean transmission velocity of 0.23 m/ns in this study.

To produce radar images that were more suitable for straightforward interpretations, the raw GPR data were processed using the Sensors & Software EKKO_project processing package by applying~~apply~~ a frequency bandpassing filter and time-variable gain corrections. The processing steps increased the signal-to-noise ratio to improve the imaging results while maintaining the original data signature, thus producing data that can be easily interpreted. The boundary between the snow and rock and the subsurface stratigraphies were visually traced.

3 Results and discussion

The radar wavelet traces showed strong signal contrast between the snow and the rock surface (the blue ~~red~~ dashed curve in Fig. 1c). It displays a well-defined gradual trend of radar reflection along the direction from the exposed limestone to ~~the Mount Everest~~the summit (from wavelet No.1 to wavelet No.31), which indicates~~ing~~ the thickening inclination of snow depth~~thickness~~ along the northern slope of ~~the~~ Mount ~~Chomolungma~~Everest. Such a thickening pattern agrees with the observed thick snowpack exposed by the nearby cliff and the topographic conditions for snow accumulation (Fig. 1a). It should be noted that such a measurement along the north slope was used only for the purpose of generating the post-discerning radar boundaries, and the measurement process could give different results if the measurement profile were moved a few metres to either side. The radar wavelet traces of the other 26 measuring points (Nos. 32-57), which are mainly concentrated at the summit ~~the Mount Everest~~ (Fig. 1b), displayed ~~the~~ similar radar reflections. Such homogeneity not only indicates the reliability of repeated radar measurements within this limited area, but also provide insights into the relatively flat topography along the ridge of ~~the~~ Mount Everest.

The magnitude of the estimated snow depth at ~~the~~ Mount Everest greatly depends on the choice of the mean transmission velocity. Taking the mean snow transmission velocity of 0.23 m/ns obtained at ~~6500 m asl and~~ 7028 m asl on ~~the~~ Mount ~~Chomolungma~~Everest (Sun et al., 2006), we obtained the snow depth~~thickness~~ distribution from the starting measurement point to the summit (Fig. 1c). The maximum two-way travel time of the reflecting horizon of the rock surface was approximately 88 ns at ~~the~~ Mount Everest. The snow depth~~thickness~~ estimates gradually increased from ~2.0 m near the start of the~~ing~~ exposed limestone to a maximum of ~10.1 m along the north slope. The snow depth~~thickness~~ of a total of 26 measuring points concentrated at the summit was averaged to be approximately 9.5 m. Such thick accumulated snowpack at ~~the~~ Mount Everest may be partially explained by the westerly-introduced snowfall accumulation on the eastern leeward side. Moreover, compared with the ~~less~~lower amount of snow accumulation ~~in~~along the unfavourable steep slope, our radar ~~image~~ measurements covered the relatively flat platform at ~~the~~ Mount Everest ~~(Fig. 1c)~~, which may provide~~d the~~ favourable topography for snow accumulation.

Although the adopted transmission velocity in snow was determined at elevations of ~~6500 m and~~ 7028 m ~~in~~on Mount ~~Chomolungma~~Everest, ~~there may be still~~ some uncertainties may still be introduced by the distinct snow condition~~s~~ at ~~the~~ Mount Everest (*e.g.* the snow density and~~,~~ snow properties). The colder air temperature and stronger wind levels at higher elevations may ~~favor~~favour ~~the~~ significant morphological changes~~, by strong sublimation~~ and thus the snowpack was compacted, resulting in~~for producing~~ high snow density. Therefore, if a higher mean snow density of ~500~~0.5~~ kg/~~c~~m^3 were assumed at ~~the~~ Mount Everest, the mean transmission velocity would ~~reduce~~decrease to ~~be~~ ~0.21 m/ns (Fortin and Fortier, 2001). The mean snow depth~~thickness~~ at ~~the~~ Mount Everest ~~will~~would slightly ~~reduce~~decrease from ~9.5 m to ~8.7 m. The transmission velocity in snow generally ranges from 0.20 m/ns to 0.27 m/ns (Kovacs et al., 1995; Fortin and Fortier, 2001; Singh et al., 2017)~~The snow depth estimate will be changed by 0.4 m in 0.01 m/ns step of transmission velocity.~~. Taking 0.20 m/ns and 0.26 m/ns as the possible lower and upper boundaries for uncertainty estimation, the mean depth estimates at the summit were approximately 9.5 ±1.2 m in May 2022.

In fact, the snow depth at Mount Everest should display interannual variability because of the influences of snow accumulation and snow drift. According to the recall of mountaineers who reached the summit in 2021 and 2022, the previously exposed rock surface in May 2021 was covered by snowpack of approximately 60-70 cm in May 2022. Our reported snow depth for Mount Everest in 2022 is considerably deeper than the values that were previously reported during the past five decades (0.9~3.5 m). There is still a lack of evidence that the snowpack has become thicker or thinner in recent decades. Future repeated radar measurements at the summit would be helpful for evidencing such dynamic changes under climate change.

In addition to reveal~~ing~~ the magnitude of the snow depth~~thickness~~ at ~~the~~ Mount Everest in May 2022, the radar wavelet traces showed two possible subsurface reflections within the snowpack (the yellow dashed lines in Fig. 1c). The upper weak subsurface reflection displays a shallow trend from a burial depth of ~2-3 m along the north slope to ~0.8-1.0 m. Another weak reflection layer ~~was~~ existed at a relatively uniform depth of approximately~~appropriately~~ 4.5 m (Fig.1c). Such features may be attributed to the transition boundar~~ies~~y between fresh snow, compacted older snow and granular firn. However, this remains speculative due to the weak signal contrast~~s~~ between layers.

4 Conclusions

Overall, our measurements acquired in May 2022 provide the first clear radar image of the snowpack at the summit~~top~~ of Mount Everest~~ in the world~~. This updated snow depth~~thickness~~ at ~~the~~ Mount Everest is considerably deeper than the~~previously reported~~ values that were previously reported during the past five decades (0.9~3.5 m). Such efforts give~~s~~provide ~~the~~ new insights for deciphering the true rock height and bottom geomorphology of Mount Everest. It is worth noting that recent debates took place on the surface melting that occurred at extremely high elevations (above 8000 m asl) on Mount~~in the~~ Everest (Brun et al., 2022; Potocki et al., 2022). ~~Such effort gives the new insights for not only deciphering the true rock height and bottom geomorphology of the Mount Everest, but also for projecting future dynamic changes in the~~

~~cryosphere at such extremely high elevations due to anthropogenic warming. It is worth noting that recent debates on the surface melting occurred at extremely high elevations (above 8000m asl) in the Everest (Brun et al., 2022; Potocki et al., 2022).~~ Indeed, future snow core drilling and repeated ground penetrating radar measurements at ~~the~~ Mount Everest ~~are~~is also necessary to not only increase our understanding of ~~snow~~ dynamic snow changes, but also to detect~~favor for detecting~~ the possible influence of unprecedented anthropogenic climate change~~source warming~~ by exploring the snow stratigraphy and snowpack properties~~dynamic~~~~thickness changes~~ at the Earth's summit.

References

Angus-Leppan, P.: The height of mount Everest, Surv. Rev., 26, 367-385, https://doi.org/10.1179/sre.1982.26.206.367, 1982.

Brun, F., King, O., Réveillet, M., Amory, C., Planchot, A., Berthier, E., Dehecq, A., Bolch, T., Fourteau, K., and Brondex, J.: Brief communication: Everest South Col Glacier did not thin during the last three decades, The Cryosphere Discussions, 2022. 1-27, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2022-166, 2022.

Chen, J., Zhang, Y., Yuan, J., Guo, C., and Zhang, P.: Height Determination of Qomolangma Feng (MT. Everest) in 2005, Surv. Rev., 42, 122-131, https://doi.org/10.1179/003962610X12572516251565, 2010.

Fortin, R. and Fortier, R.: Tomographic imaging of a snowpack, Proceedings of the 58th Annual Eastern Snow Conference, 2001, 17-19.

Holbrook, W. S., Miller, S. N., and Provart, M. A.: Estimating snow water equivalent over long mountain transects using snowmobile-mounted ground-penetrating radar, Geophys., 81, WA183-WA193, https://doi.org/10.1190/geo2015-0121.1, 2016.

Hugonnet, R., McNabb, R., Berthier, E., Menounos, B., Nuth, C., Girod, L., Farinotti, D., Huss, M., Dussaillant, I., and Brun, F.: Accelerated global glacier mass loss in the early twenty-first century, Nature, 592, 726-731, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03436-z, 2021.

Immerzeel, W. W., Lutz, A., Andrade, M., Bahl, A., Biemans, H., Bolch, T., Hyde, S., Brumby, S., Davies, B., and Elmore, A.: Importance and vulnerability of the world's water towers, Nature, 577, 364-369, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1822-y, 2020.

Kang, S., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Y., Guo, W., Ji, Z., Shen, M., Wang, S., Wang, X., Tripathee, L., and Liu, Y.: Warming and thawing in the Mt. Everest region: A review of climate and environmental changes, Earth. Sci. Rev., 225, 103911, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2021.103911, 2022.

Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Stigter, E. E., Yao, T., and Immerzeel, W. W.: Climate change decisive for Asia's snow meltwater supply, Nat. Clim. Change., 11, 591-597, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01074-x, 2021.

Kovacs, A., Gow, A. J., and Morey, R. M.: The in-situ dielectric constant of polar firn revisited, Cold. Reg. Sci. Technol., 23, 245-256, https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-232X(94)00016-Q, 1995.

Matthews, T., Perry, L. B., Koch, I., Aryal, D., Khadka, A., Shrestha, D., Abernathy, K., Elmore, A., Seimon, A., and Tait, A.: Going to extremes: installing the world's highest weather stations on Mount Everest, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 101, E1870-E1890, https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-19-0198.1, 2020.

Pepin, N., Adler, C., Kotlarksi, S., and Palazzi, E.: Mountains undergo enhanced impacts of climate change, Eos Earth & Space Science News, 103, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022EO185278, 2022.

Potocki, M., Mayewski, P. A., Matthews, T., Perry, L. B., Schwikowski, M., Tait, A. M., Korotkikh, E., Clifford, H., Kang, S., and Sherpa, T. C.: Mt. Everest's highest glacier is a sentinel for accelerating ice loss, npj. Clim. Atmos. Sci., 5, 1-8, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-022-00230-0, 2022.

165 Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Larsen, C., Gim, Y., and Kirchner, D.: Low-frequency radar sounding of temperate ice masses in Southern Alaska, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 5399-5405, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GL057452, 2013.

Singh, K., Negi, H., Kumar, A., Kulkarni, A., Dewali, S., Datt, P., Ganju, A., and Kumar, S.: Estimation of snow accumulation on Samudra Tapu glacier, Western Himalaya using airborne ground penetrating radar, Cur. Sci., 2017. 1208-1218, http://dx.doi.org/10.18520/cs/v112/i06/1208-1218, 2017.

170 Sun, Z., Zhang, J.-q., and Zhang, P.: The application of radar technique to determining nival bed thickness of mount Qomolangma, Geophysical and Geochemical Exploration, 2006. 179-182, 2006.

Thompson, L. G., Brecher, H. H., Mosley-Thompson, E., Hardy, D. R., and Mark, B. G.: Glacier loss on Kilimanjaro continues unabated, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 106, 19770-19775, http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906029106, 2009.

Xie, Y., Shen, W., Han, J., and Deng, X.: Determination of the height of Mount Everest using the shallow layer method,
175 Geod. Geodyn., 12, 258-265, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geog.2021.04.002, 2021.

Yamamoto, T., Matsuoka, K., and Naruse, R.: Observation of internal structures of snow covers with a ground-penetrating radar, Ann. Glaciol., 38, 21-24, https://doi.org/10.3189/172756404781814834, 2004.

Angus-Leppan, P.: The height of mount everest, Survey Review, 26, 367-385, 1982.

Brun, F., King, O., Réveillet, M., Amory, C., Planchot, A., Berthier, E., Dehecq, A., Bolch, T., Fourteau, K., and Brondex, J.:
180 Brief communication: Everest South Col Glacier did not thin during the last three decades, The Cryosphere Discussions, 2022. 1-27, 2022.

Chen, J., Zhang, Y., Yuan, J., Guo, C., and Zhang, P.: Height Determination of Qomolangma Feng (MT. Everest) in 2005, Survey Review, 42, 122-131, 2010.

Fortin, R. and Fortier, R.: Tomographic imaging of a snowpack, 2001, 17-19.

185 GSSI, i.: GSSI Equipment at the Top of Mount Everest, https://www.geophysical.com/gssi-equipment-at-the-top-of-mount-everest, 2021. 2021.

Holbrook, W. S., Miller, S. N., and Provart, M. A.: Estimating snow water equivalent over long mountain transects using snowmobile-mounted ground-penetrating radar, Geophysics, 81, WA183-WA193, 2016.

Hugonnet, R., McNabb, R., Berthier, E., Menounos, B., Nuth, C., Girod, L., Farinotti, D., Huss, M., Dussaillant, I., and Brun, F.:
190 Accelerated global glacier mass loss in the early twenty-first century, Nature, 592, 726-731, 2021.

Immerzeel, W. W., Lutz, A., Andrade, M., Bahl, A., Biemans, H., Bolch, T., Hyde, S., Brumby, S., Davies, B., and Elmore, A.: Importance and vulnerability of the world's water towers, Nature, 577, 364-369, 2020.

Kang, S., Zhang, Q., Zhang, Y., Guo, W., Ji, Z., Shen, M., Wang, S., Wang, X., Tripathee, L., and Liu, Y.: Warming and thawing in the Mt. Everest region: A review of climate and environmental changes, Earth-Science Reviews, 225, 103911, 2022.

195 Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Stigter, E. E., Yao, T., and Immerzeel, W. W.: Climate change decisive for Asia's snow meltwater supply, Nature Climate Change, 11, 591-597, 2021.

Matthews, T., Perry, L. B., Koch, I., Aryal, D., Khadka, A., Shrestha, D., Abernathy, K., Elmore, A., Seimon, A., and Tait, A.: Going to extremes: installing the world's highest weather stations on Mount Everest, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 101, E1870-E1890, 2020.

200 Pepin, N., Adler, C., Kotlarksi, S., and Palazzi, E.: Mountains undergo enhanced impacts of climate change, Eos Earth & Space Science News, 103, 2022.

Potocki, M., Mayewski, P. A., Matthews, T., Perry, L. B., Schwikowski, M., Tait, A. M., Korotkikh, E., Clifford, H., Kang, S., and Sherpa, T. C.: Mt. Everest's highest glacier is a sentinel for accelerating ice loss, npj Climate and Atmospheric Science, 5, 1-8, 2022.

205 Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Larsen, C., Gim, Y., and Kirchner, D.: Low-frequency radar sounding of temperate ice masses in Southern Alaska, Geophysical Research Letters, 40, 5399-5405, 2013.

Singh, K., Negi, H., Kumar, A., Kulkarni, A., Dewali, S., Datt, P., Ganju, A., and Kumar, S.: Estimation of snow accumulation on Samudra Tapu glacier, Western Himalaya using airborne ground penetrating radar, Current Science, 2017. 1208-1218, 2017.

210   Sun, Z., Zhang, J.-q., and Zhang, P.: The application of radar technique to determining niveal bed thickness of mount Qomolangma, Geophysical and Geochemical Exploration, 2006. 179-182, 2006.

Thompson, L. G., Brecher, H. H., Mosley-Thompson, E., Hardy, D. R., and Mark, B. G.: Glacier loss on Kilimanjaro continues unabated, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106, 19770-19775, 2009.

Tone, S.: Measuring Mount Everest, the Rooftop of the World, https://www.sixthtone.com/news/1005695/measuring-mount-everest%2C-the-rooftop-of-the-world, 2020. 2020.

215   Xie, Y., Shen, W., Han, J., and Deng, X.: Determination of the height of Mount Everest using the shallow layer method, Geodesy and Geodynamics, 12, 258-265, 2021.

Yamamoto, T., Matsuoka, K., and Naruse, R.: Observation of internal structures of snow covers with a ground-penetrating radar, Annals of Glaciology, 38, 21-24, 2004.

220

Data availability

The GPR data (.sgy) are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Author Contributions

225   T.Y. designed research; T.Y., H.Z. and W.Y. analyzed data; and T.Y., H.Z., W.Y., B.X., J.Li., W.W., G.Wu and Z.W. jointly discuss the result and wrote the paper.

Acknowledgements

The study is supported by the Second Tibetan Plateau Scientific Expedition and Research Program (STEP) (2019QZKK0201), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41961134035).

230 Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Figure 1. Radar measurements along the north slope to ~~the~~ Mount Everest acquired on May 4th, 2022. (a) Photo of Mount Everest showing the summit topography in 2022 and the radar measurement direction, as viewed from the northeast. (b) Distribution of 57 radar measurement points (red triangles), which started at the downwards- exposed metamorphosed limestone. (c) Radar wavelet traces showing the boundary between the snow and rock (~~red~~ blue dashed line) and the possible internal stratigraphies (yellow dashed lines) along the radar measurement profile at the estimated depth according to a constant transmission velocity (left axis) and the two-way wave travel time (right axis).