





engineers | scientists | innovators

Future 100 Year Flood Map for Coastal Resiliency in Broward County



Mark Ellard, PE, CFM, D.WRE, ENV SP – Senior Principal Geosyntec Consultants

Carolina Maran, PhD, PE – Chief of District Resiliency SFWMD (formerly with Broward County)



2020 National Conference on Beach Preservation Technology February 7, 2020 Sarasota, Florida



Geosyntec^D

consultants

Project Goals

- Need to update current community 100 – year flood elevation map
- New Flood Map to Account for Future Flood Risk:
 - > Year 2060 sea level rise
 - Increased runoff due to higher groundwater tables
 - Land use changes
 - Increased rainfall due to warming climate
- Will enhance infrastructure resilience:
 - Design standards
 - Finished floor elevations, streets, sanitary manholes, critical infrastructure, etc.





Major Tasks

- Initial Stakeholder Outreach and Coordination
- Data Collection and Review
- Update Current Conditions Model Existing MIKE SHE / MIKE 11 Model
 - > Incorporate Stakeholder Data, Refine Model Computational Grid, Update Land Use, Survey
 - Model Calibration/Validation
 - Current Conditions Design Storm Simulations (10, 25, 50, 100, and 500-year, 3-day)
- Future Conditions Model Development & Execution
 - > Incorporate future climate projections (sea level rise, rainfall)
 - > Incorporate future groundwater map, landuse, future projects, etc.
 - > Run Future Conditions Model Design Storm Simulations (10, 25, 50, 100, and 500-year, 3-day)
- Future 100-year Flood Elevation Map Development
- CRS Evaluation



Current Conditions Modeling Updates and Results





Geosyntec^D

consultants

Current Conditions Model Updates

- Existing DHI MIKE SHE / MIKE 11 (Hydro River) Integrated Hydrologic and Hydraulic Model
 - All Elements of Hydrological Cycle
 - 2D Surface Runoff
 - 1D Hydraulic Elements
 - 3D Groundwater Layers
- Used previously for water supply and FEMA flood mapping purposes
- Updated with current conditions data and refined to higher detail







Stakeholder Data Coordination for Model Development

Stakeholder Meetings







Stakeholders:

- 31 Municipalities
- 23 Water Control Districts
- South Florida Water Management District
- USGS
- Other interested parties





Current Conditions Model Updates

Updated model with current conditions data and refined to higher detail



7898 - 8103 7692 . 7893 280 - 748

868 . 7071 B662 - 686 6250 - 6455 8044 - 6249 5838.6143 5832 - 5832

542 - 2747 2336 . 254 130 - 2335

1024 - 2126 1718 - 1923 1512 . 1747

1306 - 1511



Figure 3: Before (left) and After (right) Topography in the Indian Trace/Weston Area.

Compiled recent LiDAR from available sources, refined model topography



Figure 6: Before (top) and After (bottom) River Network

Geosyntec[▶] consultants



Geosyntec[▶]

consultants

Validation & Calibration

Maximum modeled overland flood depth results - Validation storm

Verification Storm Selection for Model Calibration

- Reviewed gauge data from across urban area of County for stations with robust data sets
- Identified best candidate storms for model calibration
- Narrowed candidates to:
 - June 2017 (unnamed)
 - September 2017 (Irma)
- Selected June 2017 based on depth, antecedent conditions, and system response



Design Storm Results

- 10, 25, 50, 100, and 500-year, 3-day rainfall events
- NOAA Atlas 14 for rainfall depths w/ SFWMD 3-day distribution
- Implemented rules-based operations for control structures and pumps
- Current conditions average wet season groundwater levels
- No storm surge



Future Rainfall Projections





Geosyntec^D

consultants

Future Conditions Model Updates

- 2ft Sea Level Rise (SE FL Climate Compact)
- Future Groundwater Conditions (Broward County GW Elev. Map)
- Future Land Use
- Future Major Infrastructure Projects
- Future Control Structure Operations
- Future Rainfall Depths (DDF/IDF)
 - Increasing ?
 - Spatial Variability ?









Future Rainfall Analysis

Obtain Rainfall Observations Dataset	Obtain Global Climate Models / Downscaled Datasets	Fitting Probab. Distribution Curve to both Observations and Downscaled Data	Compare Extreme Observations vs. Downscaled Data (historical period)	Calculate Change Factors (ratio future to historic)	Estimate and Distribute Future Rainfall Projections
Available Data / Approa	ches:				
 NOAA Atlas 14 CPC Merged Analysis over CONUS SFWMD GARR (Baxter) NEXRAD SFWMD Regular Gauges 	 BCCA – Statically (Reclamation) LOCA (UCSD) – Stat. CORDEX (WCRP) – Dynamically COAPS (FCI / FSU) – Dynamically VR-CESM (Hyperion) – Dynamically BCSA (UF) WRF – Jupiter Raw GCMs - SimClim 	 Annual Maxima Partial Duration Series GEV and other distribution types (Pearson III, Pareto,) Shape/Location/Scale Parameters: L-Mom x MLE Regional Frequency vs. At site Frequency distributions 	 Correlation metrics (RMSE, IVSS, Taylor Diagram) Bias calculations 	 Quantile Mapping x Quantile Delta Mapping Multiplicative x Additive Quantile Delta Mapping Best Model Results x ensemble approach Super ensemble vs. subset of best performing models Fit IDF Curves to selected durations and frequencies 	 Add calculated deltas individually to each station x regional average Deterministic vs. perform stochastic simulation on ranges of calculated deltas Hourly distribution approaches (Santa Barbara, SFWMD, NOAA Atlas 14)
 Represent extreme rainfall precipitation Sub daily datasets preferable Appropriate Broward coverage Length of time series (min 25-30 years) 	 Daily Rainfall Data (sub daily?) IPCC AR5 (CIMP 5) Regional Models RCP 8.5 and others? 2060 Horizon projection Min. 20 years of historical simulation Spatial Resolution (less than 30km) 	 Durations and Intensities of Interest (Independently versus joint) Rolling window for annual maxima NOAA scaling factors (constrained x non- constrained) Bias Correction Steps applied previously? 	 Evaluation parameters (RMSE, S, C) – quality metrics Visualization of data – heat maps 	 Stationarity x non- stationarity bias calculation Average biases? Models? Spatially? Select best performing methods or combine them all together? 	 Representing Uncertainties (stochastic approach) Spatial differences among changing factors





Future Rainfall Analysis Datasets

- Evaluated Datasets
 - CLIMsystems
 - BCCA
 - Hyperion
 - FSU COAPS
 - CORDEX
 - Raw GCMs
 - Jupiter Intelligence
 - LOCA
 - Jupiter WRF
- Leverage Atlas 14 Rainfall Stations

Geosyntec[▶]

consultants

• Target Future Year 2060







Bias Estimation for Different Datasets

Bias in 72-hour DDF precipitation depths in inches for downscaled models versus observations Under RawGCM Hist (1956-2005). 5-95th percentiles across models shown Station: 3A.:30HR



Bias in 72-hour DDF precipitation depths in % for downscaled models versus observations Under RawGCM Hist (1956-2005). 5-95th percentiles across models shown

					Station: 3A-36+F				
ACCESS1-0	-43.3	-38.9	-40.1	-43.7	-46.8	-50.2	-53.2	-57.0	-59.6
ACCESS1-3	-41.0	-30.0	-27.8	-27.9	-29.1	-31.1	-32.8	-35.4	-37.2
BNU-ESM	-54.4	-53.9	-55.9	-59.0	-61.4	-63.9	-65.9	-68.4	-70.0
OCSM4	-46.0	-42.5	-43.0	-45.1	-46.9	-49.0	-50.8	-53.0	-54.5
CESM1-BGC	-48.3	-45.4	-44.6	-43.7	-42.6	-41.5	-39.5	-36.4	-33.2
CMCC-CM	-44.7	-42.4	-42.5	-43.0	-43.2	-43.5	-43.1	-42.2	-40.9
CMCC-CMS	-32.1	-30.8	-32.6	-35.6	-37.8	-40.2	-41.8	-43.8	-44.8
CNRM-CM5	-52.4	-46.1	-45.5	-46.7	-48.1	-50.1	-51.7	-53.9	-55.4
CSIRO-Mk3-6-0	-36.3	-36.6	-39.0	-42.6	-45.0	-47.7	-49.6	-52.0	-53.5
CanESM2	-62.0	-62.5	-63.3	-63.7	-63.5	-63.1	-62.0	-59.9	-57.6
EC-EARTH	-59.2	-61.2	-63.9	-67.6	-70.2	-72.8	-74.8	-77.3	-78.9
GFDL-ESM2G	-54.3	-56.1	-59.1	-63.3	-66.2	-69.1	-71.4	-74.1	-75.9
GFDL-ESM2M	-52.6	-55.1	-58.0	-61.8	-64.3	-66.7	-68.5	-70.6	-71.8
HadGEM2-ES	-37.7	-36.1	-37.6	-40.5	-42.7	-45.2	-47.1	-49.4	-50.8
IPSL-CM5A-LR	-68.7	-69.6	-71.3	-73.6	-75.3	-76.9	-78.2	-79.8	-80.8
IPSL-CM5A-MR	-63.8	-63.0	-64.3	-66.6	-68.3	-70.2	-71.6	-73.5	-74.6
IPSL-CM58-LR	-51.2	-40.5	-36.4	-32.9	-30.7	-29.1	-26.9	-24.1	-21.4
MIROC-ESM	-55.0	-56.9	-59.9	-64.1	-67.0	-69.9	-72.2	-75.1	-77.0
OC-ESM-CHEM	-56.2	-57.8	-60.6	-64.5	-67.2	-69.9	-72.2	-74.9	-76.7
MIROC5	-43.0	-40.2	-41.0	-43.2	-44.9	-46.9	-48.4	-50.3	-51.4
MPI-ESM-LR	-15.2	-17.1	-23.0	-31.7	-37.9	-44.1	-49.2	-55.4	-59.4
MPI-ESM-MR	-30.2	-31.2	-34.8	-40.2	-44.2	-48.2	-51.5	-55.7	-58.3
MRI-CGCM3	-32.2	-31.2	-34.7	-40.7	-45.3	-50.0	-54.0	-58.9	-62.2
NorESM1-M	-57.1	-59.2	-62.0	-65.9	-68.6	-71.2	-73.3	-75.8	-77.4
bcc-csm1-1	-33.0	-26.6	-28.1	-32.8	-36.9	-41.5	-45.5	-50.7	-54.1
inmcm4	-58.2	-60.6	-63.2	-66.6	-68.8	-71.0	-72.7	-74.7	-76.0
0.05	-63.3	-62.9	-64.2	-67.4	-69.9	-72.4	-74.4	-76.9	-78.5
0.1	-60.6	-61.8	-63.6	-66.6	-68.7	-71.1	-73.0	-75.5	-77.2
0.5	-49.7	-43.9	-43.8	-44,4	-46.8	-50.0	-52.4	-56.3	-58.9
0.9	-32.2	-30.4	-30.3	-32.8	-37.3	-40.9	-40.7	-39.3	-39.0
0.95	-30.7	-27.4	-27.8	-32.0	-32.2	-33.4	-34.5	-35.7	-34.2
	2	5	10	25 Average	50 recurrence interv	100 al (vears)	200	500	1000

Despite large biases calculated between climate model data and observations...



- -45

- -60

- -75



Rainfall Depth Change Factors

BCCA Ensemble Medians of Spatially Aggregated DDF Change Factors under RCP8.5 (2041-2090 vs. 1956-2005) across Broward County. 68% and 90% uncertainty ranges are also presented as bars.







NAM22i Ensemble Medians of Spatially Aggregated DDF Change Factors under RCP8.5 (2041-2090 vs. 1956-2005) across Broward County. 68% and 90% uncertainty ranges are also presented as bars.



NAM44i Ensemble Medians of Spatially Aggregated DDF Change Factors under RCP8.5 (2041-2090 vs. 1956-2005) across Broward County. 68% and 90% uncertainty ranges are also presented as bars.



Raw Ensemble Medians of Spatially Aggregated DDF Change Factors under RCP8.5 (2041-2090 vs. 1956-2005) across Broward County. 68% and 90% uncertainty ranges are also presented as bars.



All results and associated uncertainty ranges show increasing rainfall





Geosyntec[▶]

consultants

Future Rainfall – Experts Panel

- Workshop on September 17
 - Representatives from:
 - Broward County
 - SFWMD
 - FIU
 - USGS
 - Consultant Team
 - Other interested parties
 - Consensus on strategy for moving forward

Super-Ensemble approach recommended



The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) is the United Nations body for assessing the science related to climate change.

- Evaluating Results from Multiple Models
- Measure of Model Skills (model performance): yet to be identified
- Importance of characterizing uncertainty:
 - More than one dataset to represent observations
 - Multi-model calculations out-perform individual models
 - Multiple sources (Raw GCMs, Regional Models statistical & dynamical downscaled data)
 - Weighting or Subsets Approaches: need to determine statistical significance of the difference between models – given metric
 - <u>Super Ensemble Approach</u>, plus documentation of all individual results
 - Sample uncertainty space

Approach Aligned with all IPCC Recommendations



Super Ensemble Consensus

• Super-Ensemble Approach:

- Different subsets of all the individual model projections from the different datasets are chosen and fittings are calculated from each of these subsets (prob. analysis)
- This approach more explicitly calculates the uncertainty in the median change factors, and reduce the generalization error of the predictions.
- This approach converges on providing a single model domain-wide scaling value to use for storm events





Ensemble Results for Different Return Periods



COMPARISON OF ENSEMBLE MEDIANS OF SPATIALLY AGGREGATED

Note: (1) SDSM=Raw + BCCA + LOCA, DDSM=NAM22i + NAM44i + JupiterWRF, Super=SDSM + DDSM; (2) JupiterWRF only contributed to H24 in DDSM and Super.

Geosyntec[▶]

consultants

Ensemble Medians of Spatially Aggregated DDF Change Factors under RCP8.5 (2041-2090 vs. 1956-2005) across Broward County. 68% uncertainty ranges are also presented.





Super-ensemble Results for Design Storms (Longer Durations - 3 days)

Single model domainwide scaling values for design storm events

- 10 year/3 day = 9% increase
- 25 year/3 day = 12% increase
- 50 year/3 day = 12% increase
- 100 year/3 day = 13% increase
- 500 year/3 day = 18% increase



Super Ensemble Medians of Spatially Aggregated DDF Change Factors under RCP8.5 (2041-2090 vs. 1956-2005) across Broward County. 68% and 90% uncertainty ranges are also presented as bars. 2.2 68% (16-84%) median (50%) 90% (5-95%) 2.0 1.8 1.6 1.4 1.2 1.0 0.8 0.6 ARI500 H72 ARI25 H24 ARI100 H24 ARI10 H72 ARI25 H72 ARI50 H72 ARI100 H72

Whisker diagram of SUPER ensemble medians of spatially aggregated DDF change factors with uncertainty ranges.

Geosyntec Consultants



Super-ensemble Results for Design Storms (Shorter Durations – 24 hours)

Single model domainwide scaling values for design storm events

- 25 year/1 day = 19% increase
- 100 year/1 day = 20% increase





Whisker diagram of DDSM ensemble medians of spatially aggregated DDF change factors with uncertainty ranges.





Combining Results for Broward

- How to apply Change Factor spatially to design storm rainfall?
- No significant difference for the calculated Change Factor among rainfall stations, small spatial variability

ADOPT ONE CHANGE FACTOR (%) FOR THE ENTIRE URBAN AREA





Future Conditions Modeling





Geosyntec^D

Future Land Use

- Undeveloped and agricultural parcels were assumed to be developed by 2060.
- Exceptions:
 - Wetlands
 - Parks/preserves
- Several golf courses assumed redeveloped to residential, per input from County Planning Dept.





- Started with USGS MODFLOW Inundation Model results
- Subtracted Current Conditions map from Future Conditions to create difference map
- Zeroed out negative values and modeling artifacts

Geosyntec[▶]

consultants





Future Tidal Outfalls and Boundaries

Tidal boundaries increased from current conditions by 26" for:

- 1-D channels
- 2-D Overland
- Groundwater







Future Rainfall

Increased Current Conditions 3-Day Depths by these multipliers:

- 10 Yr: 1.09
- 25 Yr: 1.12
- 50 Yr: 1.12
- 100 Yr: 1.13
- 500 Yr: 1.18

NOAA Station	Future 3-Day Storm Rainfall Depth (inches)					
	10-Year	25-Year	50-Year	100-Year	500-Year	
PENNSUCO 5 WNW	10.53	13.55	15.79	18.42	26.31	
MRF114	11.66	15.12	17.70	20.79	29.97	
FT LAUDERDALE INTL AP	11.77	15.12	17.70	20.68	29.26	
FT LAUDERDALE	11.77	15.23	17.92	21.02	30.21	
S-36R	11.77	15.23	17.92	21.02	30.56	
G57-R	11.99	15.57	18.37	21.58	31.27	
CORAL SPRINGS	10.56	13.55	15.90	18.65	26.90	
G56-R	11.66	15.12	17.81	20.91	30.21	2
MRF102	11.45	14.67	17.25	20.23	29.15	
BOCA RATON	11.77	15.12	17.81	21.02	30.33	
MRF213	10.54	13.44	15.79	18.42	26.43	-
MRF212	11.23	14.56	17.02	20.00	28.79	
3A-36+R	9.16	11.76	13.78	16.16	23.36	
NORTH NEW RVR CANAL 2	8.88	11.19	12.99	15.14	21.36	







Future Conditions Results

Depth (ft)

Above 3.0 2.5 - 3.02.0 - 2.5

> 1.5 - 2.0 1.0 - 1.5

0.8 - 1.00.6 - 0.8

0.4 - 0.60.2 - 0.4

Below 0.2

Future 100-year / 3 day storm flood depth results





Figure 41: 100-Year Design Storm Maximum Overland Water Depth



Future Conditions Results

Spatial difference comparison 100-year storm results

Future conditions maximum depths minus current conditions maximum depths







Geosyntec[▶]

consultants

Future Conditions Results

 Preliminary
 Future 100-year / 3 day storm
 flood elevations
 (FT NAVD 1988) Legend Broward County Boundary Flood Elevation (feet, NAVD 88) <-1</td> -1 - 0 0 - 1 1 - 2 2 - 3 3 - 4 4 - 5 5 - 6 6 - 7 9 - 10 10 - 11 11 - 12 12 - 13 13 - 14 14 - 15 15 - 16 16 - 17 17 - 18 18 - 19 19 - 20 20 - 21 21 - 22 22 - 23 23 - 24 24 - 25 >25

Broward County Future 100-Year Flood Elevation Study





Future Conditions Results - Detail

Flood Elevation

Flood Depth



Geosyntec Consultants



Future Conditions Results - Detail

Flood Elevation

Flood Depth



Geosyntec Consultants

Next Steps





Next Steps

- Draft report and draft future conditions model results shared with stakeholders (January)
- 3 Stakeholder Workshops (North (2/4), Central (1/31), South (2/4))
 - Present Future Conditions Flood Elevation Map (results, technical assumptions)
 - Promote a technical discussion and opportunity for feedback
- Incorporate final comments from stakeholders and share updated flood map (February)
- 2 Informational Workshops to Community March
 - Countywide Agencies / Municipalities Workshop
 - Industry / Development / Business Community Workshop
- Future Conditions 100 Year Flood Map Adoption Process May thru August





Project Team Acknowledgments



Geosyntec Consultants

- Broward County Leadership: Dr. Jennifer Jurado, PhD
- Geosyntec: Prime Consultant
 - > Data Collection and Compilation
 - Stakeholder Outreach
 - > Rainfall Analysis (current and future conditions)
 - Model Tool Development
 - CRS Evaluation and Recommendations
 - Taylor Engineering: Hydrologic & Hydraulic Modeling
 - > Update Current Conditions Model
 - > Future Conditions Model Development
 - > Integration with Coastal Analysis
- CLIMsystems and Jupiter Intelligence: Future Rainfall Development
- Stoner & Associates: Surveying
- Adept Strategy and Public Relations
- Special Acknowledgement to Dr. Carolina Maran and Michael Zygnerski with Broward County for project and technical guidance





Thank you

Questions?

Mark Ellard, PE, CFM, D.WRE, ENV SP mellard@Geosyntec.com 407-321-7030

Carolina Maran, PhD, PE <u>cmaran@sfwmd.gov</u> 561-682-6868

Jennifer Jurado, PhD jjurado@broward.org 954-519-1464



