

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 139 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-31 AND AMENDMENT NO.134 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-41

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

TURKEY POINT UNIT NOS. 3 AND 4

DOCKET NOS. 50-250 AND 50-251

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated January 3, 1991, Florida Power and Light Company (the licensee) requested amendments to the licenses for Turkey Point Units 3 and 4. The proposed amendments would modify the licenses by extending the effectiveness of the Integrated Scheduling of Plant Modifications from December 31, 1990 to December 31, 1991.

The NRC had previously approved the implementation of the Integrated Scheduling Program by Amendment Nos. 126 and 120 dated November 23, 1987. The objectives of the program are to improve control and management of available resources and to perform required activities in a manner that would enhance plant safety.

2.0 EVALUATION

The Integrated Scheduling Program enables the licensee to effectively manage implementation of modifications which are required or proposed by the NRC, as well as other measures which are identified by the licensee or others. The basic premise of the program is that financial and human resources are finite. Thus, the purpose of the program is to best utilize these resources to (1) ensure conformance with regulatory requirements, (2) provide sufficient lead times for modifications; (3) minimize changes for operators; (4) assure training requirements are fulfilled; (5) effectively manage financial and human resources; and (6) specify the framework for changes to developed schedules. The implementation of this program helps to ensure the continued safe, prudent, reliable and economic operation of Turkey Point Units 3 and 4.

On the basis of the above considerations, the staff finds the extension of the license condition for Integrated Scheduling of Plant Modifications from December 31, 1990 to December 31, 1991 to be acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

Based upon the written notice of the proposed amendment, the Florida State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments change a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding (56 FR 4864). Accordingly, these amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of these amendments.

5.0 CONCLUSION

2

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: D. Miller

Date: April 12, 1991